# Yahoo Group Archive: evergreenintertie

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2017. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2016-05-23 through 2017-11-22

Source WARC: evergreenintertie.1PGiswa.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2017-12-20T20-05-46Z-1a7511

Messages included in this PDF: 67

Messages omitted as spam from this PDF: 1

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

# 857. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 18:58:33 UTC
From: Tom Hayward
Topic: 857  Message: 857

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 10:28 AM, jinkside@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this group? If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to create an updated version.
> -William Lockwood K7WIL

It could be done as an open source project on GitHub or similar.

I've been using some simple diagramming software lately:
http://blockdiag.com/en/nwdiag/nwdiag-examples.html

You define the relationships with lines in a text file, then it
outputs various formats (svg, png, pdf, and others).

This would make it very easy for anyone to contribute updates when a
link is added or removed. Then generate a new map -- the design work
of reorganizing the page is automated.

The missing piece is finding someone that knows the current state of
the links so it can be codified!

Tom KD7LXL

# 858. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 17:43:14 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 858

On 05/23/2016 10:28 AM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:

> Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this
> group? If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to
> create an updated version.

I just spoke with the original author after reading your post, and yes
the July 2007 version which I have in front of me is still current.

# 859. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 19:08:38 UTC
From: Michael Smith
Topic: 857  Message: 859

This is an excellent suggestion. I might also suggest a drawing on Google Drive, freely shareable, but with only a few trusted persons having the right to edit. The advantage to this is that updated information is updated for everyone immediately, rather than having to wait for the next commit to GitHub. It's also easier to use, I suspect. The downside is that it's entirely dependent on Google, and goes away if you can't reach Google (such as because Internet and cell service are down).

Mike Smith K9MCS

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 11:58 AM, Tom Hayward tom@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 10:28 AM, jinkside@...
> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> > Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this group?
> If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to create an
> updated version.
> > -William Lockwood K7WIL
>
> It could be done as an open source project on GitHub or similar.
>
> I've been using some simple diagramming software lately:
> http://blockdiag.com/en/nwdiag/nwdiag-examples.html
>
> You define the relationships with lines in a text file, then it
> outputs various formats (svg, png, pdf, and others).
>
> This would make it very easy for anyone to contribute updates when a
> link is added or removed. Then generate a new map -- the design work
> of reorganizing the page is automated.
>
> The missing piece is finding someone that knows the current state of
> the links so it can be codified!
>
> Tom KD7LXL
>
>

--
Michael C Smith maxomai@... http://maxomai.livejournal.com

# 860. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 19:14:48 UTC
From: Jeff Moore
Topic: 857  Message: 860

However we do it, having an accurate list of active links will allow us to assess the current state of the Inter-tie and to determine where and if additional links can be put in place to expand the reach of the Inter-Tie. My own club (High Desert Amateur Radio Group) enjoyed a link into the Inter-tie via the Oregon Connection for a number of years, however, the Oregon Connection has been reallocating it's resources into other repeater networks and I know we no longer have a connection into the Inter-Tie from Central Oregon. I'm pretty sure my club would be interested in linking back in if the mechanics can be figured out to do so.

Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 11:58 AM, Tom Hayward tom@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 10:28 AM, jinkside@...
> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> > Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this group?
> If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to create an
> updated version.
> > -William Lockwood K7WIL
>
> It could be done as an open source project on GitHub or similar.
>
> I've been using some simple diagramming software lately:
> http://blockdiag.com/en/nwdiag/nwdiag-examples.html
>
> You define the relationships with lines in a text file, then it
> outputs various formats (svg, png, pdf, and others).
>
> This would make it very easy for anyone to contribute updates when a
> link is added or removed. Then generate a new map -- the design work
> of reorganizing the page is automated.
>
> The missing piece is finding someone that knows the current state of
> the links so it can be codified!
>
> Tom KD7LXL
>
>

# 861. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 19:17:55 UTC
From: Jeff Moore
Topic: 857  Message: 861

It may be "current", but it is no longer accurate at least as far as Oregon linkages go.

Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 10:43 AM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> On 05/23/2016 10:28 AM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>
> > Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this
> > group? If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to
> > create an updated version.
>
> I just spoke with the original author after reading your post, and yes
> the July 2007 version which I have in front of me is still current.
>
>

# 862. Handout Revision - Formatting

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 19:52:47 UTC
From: jinkside@...
Topic: 857  Message: 862

This could also be done as a custom Google Map with the control codes for each node listed in the details for the repeater. The nice thing is that a custom map can be downloaded as a KML or KMZ file for use in case you lose Internet access. I've been playing with this for my club's roster. I'd like to find a way to load it into something like OSMAnd, but haven't had any luck as yet.

On the other hand, the format of the handout is sure nice in that it can be easily printed and filed for use when your PC isn't an option. Constant 500W draw is going to run down your e-power pretty quick!

All of that said, getting the information is going to be a lot harder than formatting it.

# 863. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 19:57:45 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 863

Your observation will be forwarded, thank you. Do you have the updated information that needs to be included, please?

On 05/23/2016 12:17 PM, Jeff Moore tnetcenter@...
[evergreenintertie] wrote:
> It may be "current", but it is no longer accurate at least as far as
> Oregon linkages go.
> Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY
>
> On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 10:43 AM, Tony Ross ynotssor@...
> <mailto:ynotssor@...> quoted and wrote wrote:
>
> On 05/23/2016 10:28 AM, jinkside@...
> <mailto:jinkside@...> [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>
> > Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this
> > group? If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to
> > create an updated version.
>
> I just spoke with the original author after reading your post, and
> yes
> the July 2007 version which I have in front of me is still current.
>
>
>

# 864. Re: [evergreenintertie] Handout Revision - Formatting

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 20:35:46 UTC
From: R.L. Wurdack
Topic: 857  Message: 864

Is there a strategy or a reason to limit access to this information?

----- Original Message -----
From: jinkside@... [evergreenintertie]
To: evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 12:52 PM
Subject: [evergreenintertie] Handout Revision - Formatting

This could also be done as a custom Google Map with the control codes for each node listed in the details for the repeater. The nice thing is that a custom map can be downloaded as a KML or KMZ file for use in case you lose Internet access. I've been playing with this for my club's roster. I'd like to find a way to load it into something like OSMAnd, but haven't had any luck as yet.

On the other hand, the format of the handout is sure nice in that it can be easily printed and filed for use when your PC isn't an option. Constant 500W draw is going to run down your e-power pretty quick!

All of that said, getting the information is going to be a lot harder than formatting it.

# 865. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 20:43:39 UTC
From: Jeff Moore
Topic: 857  Message: 865

The only change I can specifically verify is the Hoodoo Repeater (441.625 MHz) is no longer a part of the Oregon Connection it has been moved to the Peak Radio Assoc network. That repeater being moved off of the Oregon Connection severed our link into the Inter-Tie. I know other Oregon Connection repeaters have been reallocated to other networks and even frequencies but I don't have specific details, I just know that the Southern reach of the Inter-Tie in Oregon is seriously disrupted if it still exists. It's a shame too as I was starting to see the Inter-Tie reaching into new parts of the Pacific Northwest and into California and Nevada also.

My club already supports 6 linked repeaters in the Central and Eastern Oregon area with expansion within and beyond actively being sought. We also have a cross-band repeater in the John Day area and we have a linking agreement with the Lakeview area to link the Dead Indian repeater in on request and several other linking opportunities in the works. I'd like to see us linked in along the I-84 corridor into Idaho, we already cover a large part of the US20 route and we're working on options to extend East from the Burns area into Idaho also. Adding in the I-84 corridor to what we already cover would give us solid coverage of 2/3rds of the State of Oregon.

Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY
HiDARG

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 12:57 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Your observation will be forwarded, thank you. Do you have the updated
> information that needs to be included, please?
>
> On 05/23/2016 12:17 PM, Jeff Moore tnetcenter@...
> [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>
>
> It may be "current", but it is no longer accurate at least as far as
> Oregon linkages go.
> Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY
>
> On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 10:43 AM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... quoted and
> wrote wrote:
>>
>> On 05/23/2016 10:28 AM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>

>> > Any idea if whoever originally created it is still active in this
>> > group? If not, maybe we just need to divvy up the tasks required to
>> > create an updated version.
>>
>> I just spoke with the original author after reading your post, and yes
>> the July 2007 version which I have in front of me is still current.
>>
>
>
>
>

# 866. Re: [evergreenintertie] Handout Revision - Formatting

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 20:43:41 UTC
From: Michael Smith
Topic: 857  Message: 866

Off the top of my head:

1) There's no central repository for this information --- we have to go asking around.
2) Not all repeater owners have the same attitude about sharing information. Some are friendly, some are not, some are outright paranoid and fear vandalism. It would require a great deal of diplomacy on our parts.

Mike/K9MCS

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 1:35 PM, 'R.L. Wurdack' dickw@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> □
> Is there a strategy or a reason to limit access to this information?
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* jinkside@... [evergreenintertie]
> <jinkside@...+[evergreenintertie]>
> *To:* evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Monday, May 23, 2016 12:52 PM
> *Subject:* [evergreenintertie] Handout Revision - Formatting
>
>
>
> This could also be done as a custom Google Map with the control codes for
> each node listed in the details for the repeater. The nice thing is that a
> custom map can be downloaded as a KML or KMZ file for use in case you lose
> Internet access. I've been playing with this for my club's roster. I'd like
> to find a way to load it into something like OSMAnd, but haven't had any
> luck as yet.
>
> On the other hand, the format of the handout is sure nice in that it can
> be easily printed and filed for use when your PC isn't an option. Constant
> 500W draw is going to run down your e-power pretty quick!
>
> All of that said, *g**etting *the information is going to be a lot harder
> than formatting it.
>
>
>

--
Michael C Smith maxomai@... http://maxomai.livejournal.com

# 867. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 21:20:20 UTC
From: jinkside@...
Topic: 857  Message: 867

The repeater owners' concerns make sense to me. One local repeater owner put it to me like this: if the precise location is made available, you're basically saying "Go here to find thousands or tens of thousands of dollars of likely unwatched electronics gear!"

I think if it's an issue, we can use a general location in the publication - it's rarely going to matter which block or street a repeater is on unless you're trying to set up a high-gain link to that repeater.

# 868. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 21:27:48 UTC
From: John D. Hays
Topic: 857  Message: 868

They have never heard of insurance?
On May 23, 2016 2:20 PM, "jinkside@gmail.com [evergreenintertie]" <
evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> The repeater owners' concerns make sense to me. One local repeater owner
> put it to me like this: if the precise location is made available, you're
> basically saying "Go here to find thousands or tens of thousands of dollars
> of likely unwatched electronics gear!"
>
> I think if it's an issue, we can use a general location in the publication
> - it's rarely going to matter which block or street a repeater is on unless
> you're trying to set up a high-gain link to that repeater.
>
>

# 869. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 21:34:46 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 869

On 05/23/2016 01:43 PM, Jeff Moore tnetcenter@...
[evergreenintertie] wrote:
> The only change I can specifically verify is the Hoodoo Repeater
> (441.625 MHz) is no longer a part of the Oregon Connection it has been
> moved to the Peak Radio Assoc network.

Thank you.

# 870. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 21:39:41 UTC
From: Jeffrey Benedict
Topic: 857  Message: 870

Remember: This is “amateur” meaning the money comes out of actual pockets that are likely filed by individuals or donations not out of business expenses. I think ARRL has equipment insurance but I don’t know what it covers and what it might cost.

Years ago, Jack, W7HNH told me that they want to have kind of an idea of who might have the information to make sure as best as one can that only licensed amateurs have access to the codes for the repeaters. Someone with malicious intent could cause a lot of headaches with turning things on and off and causing interference, etc.

Jeff KB7AIL CN88

> On May 23, 2016, at 2:27 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> They have never heard of insurance?
>
> On May 23, 2016 2:20 PM, "jinkside@... [evergreenintertie]" <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> The repeater owners' concerns make sense to me. One local repeater owner put it to me like this: if the precise location is made available, you're basically saying "Go here to find thousands or tens of thousands of dollars of likely unwatched electronics gear!"
>
>
> I think if it's an issue, we can use a general location in the publication - it's rarely going to matter which block or street a repeater is on unless you're trying to set up a high-gain link to that repeater.
>

---
Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@olympus.net -
Port Ludlow, WA USA
1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL

# 871. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 22:02:10 UTC
From: John D. Hays
Topic: 857  Message: 871

What does knowing the location of a repeater (and having it insured) have to do with knowing codes?

We are seeing a lot of radios today that have GPS and enough memory to hold repeater operating parameters, and if the database in the radio knows the location of the repeater and the radio (via the GPS) then it can automatically give a list of local repeaters and with a couple of keystrokes be on frequency with the right CTCSS, or other operating parameters.

On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 2:39 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@olympus.net [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Remember: This is “amateur” meaning the money comes out of actual pockets
> that are likely filed by individuals or donations not out of business
> expenses. I think ARRL has equipment insurance but I don’t know what it
> covers and what it might cost.
>
> Years ago, Jack, W7HNH told me that they want to have kind of an idea of
> who might have the information to make sure as best as one can that only
> licensed amateurs have access to the codes for the repeaters. Someone with
> malicious intent could cause a lot of headaches with turning things on and
> off and causing interference, etc.
>
> Jeff KB7AIL CN88
>
> --

---
John D. Hays
K7VE

PO Box 1223, Edmonds, WA 98020-1223
<http://k7ve.org/blog> <http://twitter.com/#!/john_hays>
<http://www.facebook.com/john.d.hays>

# 872. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-23 22:29:25 UTC
From: Nick Sutton
Topic: 857  Message: 872

Not to mention the vast majority of these sites are known radio sites. The "most credible threats" to these systems and sites have likely never heard of ham radio nor would they be using them innerwebs to hunt out their next victim.

It's true we've had a few bad eggs in our ham-sammiches over the years, but I do agree with John that the benefits of including lat/long when possible is substantial, even tho I don't own one of them newfangled radios.

I'm still excited about crystals. Never heard of a PL tone.
But I'm learning.

73

Nick
N7QOR

> On May 23, 2016, at 3:02 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> What does knowing the location of a repeater (and having it insured) have to do with knowing codes?
>
> We are seeing a lot of radios today that have GPS and enough memory to hold repeater operating parameters, and if the database in the radio knows the location of the repeater and the radio (via the GPS) then it can automatically give a list of local repeaters and with a couple of keystrokes be on frequency with the right CTCSS, or other operating parameters.
>
>
>
>> On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 2:39 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>
>> Remember: This is “amateur” meaning the money comes out of actual pockets that are likely filed by individuals or donations not out of business expenses. I think ARRL has equipment insurance but I don’t know what it covers and what it might cost.
>>
>> Years ago, Jack, W7HNH told me that they want to have kind of an idea of who might have the information to make sure as best as one can that only licensed amateurs have access to the codes for the repeaters. Someone with malicious intent could cause a lot of headaches with turning things on and off and causing interference, etc.
>>
>> Jeff KB7AIL CN88
>>

> --
>
> John D. Hays
> K7VE
> PO Box 1223, Edmonds, WA 98020-1223
>
>
>
>
>

# 873. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 03:13:17 UTC
From: Jeffrey Benedict
Topic: 857  Message: 873

> On May 23, 2016, at 3:02 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> What does knowing the location of a repeater (and having it insured) have to do with knowing codes?

The question was asked: “Don’t they know about insurance?”

It was in response to a statement by a repeater owner that he was afraid that thieves would know exactly where an untended repeater and equipment was located and was afraid of theft.

These have nothing to do with knowing the access codes. The subject was brought up in relation to making a Google Maps template with location and access information for all of the repeaters.
>
> We are seeing a lot of radios today that have GPS and enough memory to hold repeater operating parameters, and if the database in the radio knows the location of the repeater and the radio (via the GPS) then it can automatically give a list of local repeaters and with a couple of keystrokes be on frequency with the right CTCSS, or other operating parameters.
>

Sounds great. Setting it all up would be a good project for you.

Jeff KB7AIL CN88
>
>
> On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 2:39 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Remember: This is “amateur” meaning the money comes out of actual pockets that are likely filed by individuals or donations not out of business expenses. I think ARRL has equipment insurance but I don’t know what it covers and what it might cost.
>
> Years ago, Jack, W7HNH told me that they want to have kind of an idea of who might have the information to make sure as best as one can that only licensed amateurs have access to the codes for the repeaters. Someone with malicious intent could cause a lot of headaches with turning things on and off and causing interference, etc.
>
> Jeff KB7AIL CN88
>
>

---
Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@... -

Port Ludlow, WA USA
1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL

# 874. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 05:06:24 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 874

May I express my own opinion please?

While I agree that there is concern about geographic locations being publicized resulting in physical theft/vandalism, one of the concerns might be the NW LID(s) of recent note having access to the dtmf.

We (collectively speaking as a group, of course) can't change what has been historically published, but can only restrict future releases of information to those with a demonstrated need. Those entities already know where to find such information.

As stated prior, my own opinion.

On 05/23/2016 08:13 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@...
[evergreenintertie] wrote:
> > On May 23, 2016, at 3:02 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@...
> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:> >
>
> > What does knowing the location of a repeater (and having it insured)
> have to do with knowing codes?
>
> The question was asked: “Don’t they know about insurance?”
>
> It was in response to a statement by a repeater owner that he was
> afraid that thieves would know exactly where an untended repeater and
> equipment was located and was afraid of theft.
>
> These have nothing to do with knowing the access codes. The subject
> was brought up in relation to making a Google Maps template with
> location and access information for all of the repeaters.
> >
> > We are seeing a lot of radios today that have GPS and enough memory
> to hold repeater operating parameters, and if the database in the
> radio knows the location of the repeater and the radio (via the GPS)
> then it can automatically give a list of local repeaters and with a
> couple of keystrokes be on frequency with the right CTCSS, or other
> operating parameters.
> >
>
> Sounds great. Setting it all up would be a good project for you.
>
> Jeff KB7AIL CN88
> >
> >
> > On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 2:39 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@...
> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

> >
> > Remember: This is “amateur” meaning the money comes out of actual
> pockets that are likely filed by individuals or donations not out of
> business expenses. I think ARRL has equipment insurance but I don’t
> know what it covers and what it might cost.
> >
> > Years ago, Jack, W7HNH told me that they want to have kind of an
> idea of who might have the information to make sure as best as one can
> that only licensed amateurs have access to the codes for the
> repeaters. Someone with malicious intent could cause a lot of
> headaches with turning things on and off and causing interference, etc.
> >
> > Jeff KB7AIL CN88
> >
> >
>
> ---
> Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@... -
> Port Ludlow, WA USA
> 1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL
>
>

# 875. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 05:30:45 UTC
From: jinkside@...
Topic: 857  Message: 875

I don't think it makes sense to limit the information. The bulk of it is already out there and it's not like the Intertie is seeing massive use. Releasing the information promotes use of the system. Use of the system will help people be competent and capable of using the system when it needs to... which is the same reason we all do weekly nets.

# 876. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 05:46:24 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 876

On 05/23/2016 10:30 PM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:

> I don't think it makes sense to limit the information. The bulk of it
> is already out there and it's not like the Intertie is seeing massive
> use. Releasing the information promotes use of the system. Use of the
> system will help people be competent and capable of using the system
> when it needs to... which is the same reason we all do weekly nets.

A couple of the daily nets have already been compromised.

# 877. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 05:50:53 UTC
From: Jeffrey Benedict
Topic: 857  Message: 877

Group:

The individual repeater owners have control of the information that is distributed about their repeaters. They (as an individual or sponsoring group) determine the policies for the use of their repeaters.

There is (or was) a group which decided the policies for use of the Evergreen Intertie. At one time, that was comprised of representatives of the different repeater groups. Jack, W7HNH and Russ, N7HGE were, at one time, taking care of the technical aspects of the networking between repeaters and I’m sure there were/are others.

So, the people who determine policy for the Intertie must not read this group because nobody has jumped in and said they were here. Maybe “someone” needs to step up volunteer time to activate the group.

The Western Washington Amateur Relay Association coordinates all of the repeaters in Washington and might be able to provide some contacts:

https://www.wwara.org/index.php

Jeff KB7AIL CN88

> On May 23, 2016, at 10:30 PM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> I don't think it makes sense to limit the information. The bulk of it is already out there and it's not like the Intertie is seeing massive use. Releasing the information promotes use of the system. Use of the system will help people be competent and capable of using the system when it needs to... which is the same reason we all do weekly nets.
>

---
Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@... -
Port Ludlow, WA USA
1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL

# 878. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:08:55 UTC
From: Nick Sutton
Topic: 857  Message: 878

To this end, said LIDs likely also have access to DTMF decoders as well, as any cell phone will do that now.

This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty ridiculous, as this has always been the case in one way or another.

I do come down on the side of the Partner / Repeater Owners first and foremost as without them, there IS no Intertie.

That said, some of the stuff that comes up on this issue is ridiculous, and this belief that somehow "restricting info" in this day and age, and under these circumstances is just ludicrous.

While I stand firmly in support of Owner / Partners I would also point out that "it is what it is", and trying to "control info" leads nowhere.

More than anything I want to thank all the hams here for the most lively discussion in this group in years!

It's good to see interest and I admit I have been mostly QRT for too long.

73 all!

Nick
N7QOR

> On May 23, 2016, at 10:06 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> May I express my own opinion please?
>
> While I agree that there is concern about geographic locations being publicized resulting in physical theft/vandalism, one of the concerns might be the NW LID(s) of recent note having access to the dtmf.
>
> We (collectively speaking as a group, of course) can't change what has been historically published, but can only restrict future releases of information to those with a demonstrated need. Those entities already know where to find such information.
>
> As stated prior, my own opinion.
>
>
>> On 05/23/2016 08:13 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>> > On May 23, 2016, at 3:02 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:> >

>> > What does knowing the location of a repeater (and having it insured) have to do with knowing codes?
>>
>> The question was asked: “Don’t they know about insurance?”
>>
>> It was in response to a statement by a repeater owner that he was afraid that thieves would know exactly where an untended repeater and equipment was located and was afraid of theft.
>>
>> These have nothing to do with knowing the access codes. The subject was brought up in relation to making a Google Maps template with location and access information for all of the repeaters.
>> >
>> > We are seeing a lot of radios today that have GPS and enough memory to hold repeater operating parameters, and if the database in the radio knows the location of the repeater and the radio (via the GPS) then it can automatically give a list of local repeaters and with a couple of keystrokes be on frequency with the right CTCSS, or other operating parameters.
>> >
>>
>> Sounds great. Setting it all up would be a good project for you.
>>
>> Jeff KB7AIL CN88
>> >
>> >
>> > On Mon, May 23, 2016 at 2:39 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@...m> wrote:
>> >
>> > Remember: This is “amateur” meaning the money comes out of actual pockets that are likely filed by individuals or donations not out of business expenses. I think ARRL has equipment insurance but I don’t know what it covers and what it might cost.
>> >
>> > Years ago, Jack, W7HNH told me that they want to have kind of an idea of who might have the information to make sure as best as one can that only licensed amateurs have access to the codes for the repeaters. Someone with malicious intent could cause a lot of headaches with turning things on and off and causing interference, etc.
>> >
>> > Jeff KB7AIL CN88
>> >
>> >
>>
>> ---
>> Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@... -
>> Port Ludlow, WA USA
>> 1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL
>>
>
>
>
>

# 879. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:11:59 UTC
From: John D. Hays
Topic: 857  Message: 879

>
>
> __,_.
>
WWARA only coordinates Western Washington -- Eastern Washington has its own coordination (as does Oregon).
--


---
John D. Hays
K7VE

PO Box 1223, Edmonds, WA 98020-1223
<http://k7ve.org/blog> <http://twitter.com/#!/john_hays>
<http://www.facebook.com/john.d.hays>

# 880. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:21:10 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 880

On 05/23/2016 10:50 PM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@...
[evergreenintertie] wrote:

> The Western Washington Amateur Relay Association coordinates all of
> the repeaters in Washington and might be able to provide some contacts:
> https://www.wwara.org/index.php

"... all of the repeaters in Washington..."? Really? Per the link you provided, only about 1/3 of Washington State, west of the Cascade Mtn. range if the headline is accurate. The State of Washington and especially the Evergreen Intertie is substantially bigger than that limited area.

On a secondary note (and not a personal attack, please), why do people seem to limit web addresses to the mid-1990's with "www."? Ten extra syllables on radio, and it's so seldom needed since then, usually only by bureaucratic sites that haven't evolved in the past two decades. Any modern web service provider, including _Vitalwerks Internet Solutions, LLC DBA No-IP_ that hosts wwara.org, allows for such limited thinking though with simple symbolic links.

# 881. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:26:54 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 881

On 05/23/2016 11:08 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:

> This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty ridiculous,
> as this has always been the case in one way or another.

"... afraid ..."? Not 'arf, mite. What support have /*you*/ provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?

# 882. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:28:52 UTC
From: Nick Sutton
Topic: 857  Message: 882

Really?

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 23, 2016, at 11:26 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> On 05/23/2016 11:08 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>
>> This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty ridiculous, as this has always been the case in one way or another.
>
> "... afraid ..."? Not 'arf, mite. What support have you provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>
>
>
>

# 883. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:34:38 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 883

Yes, really. What support have /*you*/ provided to self-police the
Amateur Radio Service?

On 05/23/2016 11:28 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie]
wrote:
> Really?
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On May 23, 2016, at 11:26 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@...
> <mailto:ynotssor@...> [evergreenintertie]
> <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:
>
>> On 05/23/2016 11:08 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@...
>> [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>
>>> This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty
>>> ridiculous, as this has always been the case in one way or another.
>>
>> "... afraid ..."? Not 'arf, mite. What support have /*you*/ provided
>> to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>>
>

# 884. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:38:10 UTC
From: Nick Sutton
Topic: 857  Message: 884

Well I'm doing a little bit right now in telling you that you are being a LiD about now

Put down the beer, step away from the Internet, and get to sleep.

73 OM

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 23, 2016, at 11:34 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Yes, really. What support have you provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>
>> On 05/23/2016 11:28 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>
>> Really?
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>> On May 23, 2016, at 11:26 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On 05/23/2016 11:08 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>>
>>>> This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty ridiculous, as this has always been the case in one way or another.
>>>
>>> "... afraid ..."? Not 'arf, mite. What support have you provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>
>
>
>

# 885. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:42:05 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 885

Very evasive, Nick. When you accuse others of being "afraid" you'd
better show your stuff.

On 05/23/2016 11:38 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie]
wrote:
> Well I'm doing a little bit right now in telling you that you are
> being a LiD about now
>
> Put down the beer, step away from the Internet, and get to sleep.
>
> 73 OM
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On May 23, 2016, at 11:34 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@...
> <mailto:ynotssor@...> [evergreenintertie]
> <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:
>
>> Yes, really. What support have /*you*/ provided to self-police the
>> Amateur Radio Service?
>>
>>
>> On 05/23/2016 11:28 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@...
>> [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>> Really?
>>>
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>
>>> On May 23, 2016, at 11:26 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@...
>>> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com
>>> <mailto:evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On 05/23/2016 11:08 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@...
>>>> [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty
>>>>> ridiculous, as this has always been the case in one way or another.
>>>>
>>>> "... afraid ..."? Not 'arf, mite. What support have /*you*/
>>>> provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>>>>
>>
>

# 886. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 06:46:33 UTC
From: Nick Sutton
Topic: 857  Message: 886

To the esteemed members of this group, I will deal with this jackass offline and at a later time. Honestly, I'm at work right now and was taking a break.

"Someone" is clearly full of - well, something.

Out of respect for this group there will be no more discussion from me directed towards this individual. Certainly not before he has sobered up.

73 and goodnight.

> On May 23, 2016, at 11:42 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Very evasive, Nick. When you accuse others of being "afraid" you'd better show your stuff.
>
>> On 05/23/2016 11:38 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>
>> Well I'm doing a little bit right now in telling you that you are being a LiD about now
>>
>> Put down the beer, step away from the Internet, and get to sleep.
>>
>> 73 OM
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>> On May 23, 2016, at 11:34 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Yes, really. What support have you provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>>>
>>>> On 05/23/2016 11:28 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Really?
>>>>
>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>>
>>>> On May 23, 2016, at 11:26 PM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On 05/23/2016 11:08 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> This cat and mouse game and being afraid of LIDs is pretty ridiculous, as this has always been the case in one way or another.

>>>>>
>>>>> "... afraid ..."? Not 'arf, mite. What support have you provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?
>
>
>
>

# 887. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 07:03:08 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 857  Message: 887

On 05/23/2016 11:46 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie]
wrote:

> Out of respect for this group there will be no more discussion from me
> directed towards this individual. Certainly not before he has sobered up.

You seem to have an over-reliance on alcohol references for some reason.
I asked a simple question which you make any number of excuses for
refusing to answer.

You accused others of "being afraid of LIDs". Your refusal to answer the
simple question "What support have /*you*/ provided to self-police the
Amateur Radio Service?" is the same as "Nothing."

# 889. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 15:13:45 UTC
From: Jeffrey Benedict
Topic: 857  Message: 889

A couple of things:

1. I suggested the WWARA as a place to begin to find who is in charge of what. www.wwara.com and wwara.com refer to almost the same thing. Learn to live with it.

2. Much of the activity with the Intertie was through the BEARS group in Seattle. The repeater chairman for the BEARS is Ray Fletcher. His call is KB7VMS and his e-mail is kb7vms@comcast.net

3. The responsibility for the on-the-air conduct of a repeater falls on the owner and/or trustee. There may be some technological ways to control who has access including masking of DTMF codes and assigning audio fingerprints of individual signals for repeater access (This technology was used with the 146.88 K7PF repeater in Seattle with a modicum of success. Digital technology would have the possibility of making that one a winner.) If one wants to influence the rules and policies regarding repeater use, they should get in touch with the owners and/or trustees of a repeater.

4. Not particularly germane to this discussion but… I've been using repeaters and the Evergreen Intertie since the late 80s and the amount of traffic passed over repeaters is only a fraction of what it used to be. I can monitor the 5.33 BEARS repeater in Seattle and hear maybe one call in monitoring for a couple of hours. If a repeater is tied to an EMCOMM group, there can be significant periods of operation. I rarely hear the shoot the breeze type of talk on any of the five or six repeaters I monitor. I think there are many former repeater users who use a cell phone because it is almost free these days and the freedom to do as one pleases and the technical quality is so high with cellphones.

That said, it would be nice to get more activity on the Intertie and making information known about its use would be a place to start.

Jeff KB7AIL CN88

> On May 24, 2016, at 12:03 AM, Tony Ross ynotssor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> On 05/23/2016 11:46 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
>
>
>> Out of respect for this group there will be no more discussion from me directed towards this individual. Certainly not before he has sobered up.
>
> You seem to have an over-reliance on alcohol references for some reason. I asked a simple question which you make any number of excuses for refusing to answer.
>
> You accused others of "being afraid of LIDs". Your refusal to answer the simple question "What support have you provided to self-police the Amateur Radio Service?" is the same as "Nothing."
>

---
Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@... -
Port Ludlow, WA USA
1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL

# 890. Re: [evergreenintertie] updated handout?

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 15:01:55 UTC
From: jinkside@...
Topic: 857  Message: 890

KB7AIL: That's a great idea! I'll reach out to WWARA and see what they've got.

# 891. Re: [evergreenintertie] Emailing Part 97 - 04-28-2011.pdf [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 16:40:56 UTC
From: Erik Holm
Topic: 891 Message: 891

Have Nick and Tony finally settled their debate over who's antenna is larger?
Can we please get back to the issue at hand here, and resume a more acceptable level of civil discourse?
Thanks much,73 fm W7ENK ..

From: "Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie]" <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com>
To: "Tony Ross ynotssor@..." <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 1:11 AM
Subject: [evergreenintertie] Emailing Part 97 - 04-28-2011.pdf [1 Attachment]

[Attachment(s) from Nick Sutton included below] Apparently YOU don't take hints, PAL, so I will be crystal clear with you here:

This is a "Gentlemen's Hobby", so BE a "gentleman".

This begins with you NOT attempting to incite "flame wars" in a yahoo group with a focused purpose. This is exactly what you are attempting to do.

I know it's called "yahoo groups", but that does NOT mean YOU may act like a yahoo IN the group.

I don't expect much from some punk who has been licensed all of what, 2 years?

But I will tell you this, the people in THIS group are my friends, some for well over 20 years. You have no business attempting to incite as you are doing in this group, or any other for that matter.

Trust me, these people do NOT want to hear your shit.

Speaking of which, where do you GET this bull shit about our hobby being "self-policing"?

Certainly NOT from FCC Part 97, a copy of which has been included for you as an attachment to this email "free of charge". In case you are not aware, 47 CFR Part 97 constitutes the entirety of the regulatory structure that defines what is commonly known as the "Amateur Radio Service".

Please, feel free to peruse this document "at your leisure".

If you do, I am confident that you will find:

1) there is absolutely NO reference WHATSOEVER to "self-policing", so I have no clue where in the hell you came up with that gem

2) even if there WERE a reference to "self-policing" (there is not) you will also find there is NO "MANDATE" under which any individual ham is "REQUIRED" to engage in any such activity.

Personally, I am not a COP.

Given your penchant for such terms it appears you are, at the very least, a pathetic "COP wanna be" who has issues. I find such individuals to be low order thinkers, and generally they create more problems than they are worth.

While it's not always the case, you may very well be the jackass you are even stone-cold sober, who knows.

Honestly I don't care.

You are quite clearly a pathetic individual who needs attention and are trying desperately in any way you can to get that attention.

Well you won't be getting any here. I know your type, and you aren't worth the piss your Daddy wasted in knocking your Mommy up.

Now do yourself a BIG favor and step away from the Internet.

Obviously it is too big for you to handle.

I am now informing you that your communications which have been directed to me are unwanted and they serve no "lawful purpose".

In accordance with RCW 9a.46 I am instructing you to STOP ALL unwanted communications directed at me in ANY form. This includes but is not limited to any form of "online communication" including email, social media, group mail lists or any other such method.

Be advised that I have already JAILED a POS like you under RCW 9a.46.

THAT particular jackass spent NINE FULL MONTHS in our local jail before I was done with him. All he did was continue to harass after I told him to "stop", JUST as I have instructed YOU herein.

You are now dismissed.

NOTE: this communication does not constitute a waiver of rights as defined in RCW 9a.46 or other applicable statute(s), all rights are reserved.

73, OM

# 892. Re: [evergreenintertie] Emailing Part 97 - 04-28-2011.pdf

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 17:29:22 UTC
From: Nick Sutton
Topic: 891  Message: 892

QSL OM
It's not the size, rather gain that counts

73

> On May 24, 2016, at 9:40 AM, Erik Holm w7enk@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Have Nick and Tony finally settled their debate over who's antenna is larger?
>
> Can we please get back to the issue at hand here, and resume a more acceptable level of civil discourse?
>
> Thanks much,
> 73 fm W7ENK ..

# 893. Re: [evergreenintertie] Emailing Part 97 - 04-28-2011.pdf

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 17:35:14 UTC
From: Aaron Krill
Topic: 891  Message: 893

There's a propagation joke in here somewhere.

On Tue, May 24, 2016 at 6:29 PM, Nick Sutton n7qor@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> QSL OM
> It's not the size, rather gain that counts
>
> 73
>
> On May 24, 2016, at 9:40 AM, Erik Holm w7enk@...
> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Have Nick and Tony finally settled their debate over who's antenna is
> larger?
>
> Can we please get back to the issue at hand here, and resume a more
> acceptable level of civil discourse?
>
> Thanks much,
> 73 fm W7ENK ..
>
>
>

# 894. Re: [evergreenintertie] Emailing Part 97 - 04-28-2011.pdf [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 18:32:03 UTC
From: Jeffrey Benedict
Topic: 891  Message: 894

> On May 24, 2016, at 1:11 AM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>

Get over yourself. I've made my contributions and I'm out.

Jeff KB7AIL CN 88

---
Jeffrey Benedict - raoul@... -
Port Ludlow, WA USA
1976 R90/6 — 1977 Yamadog XS650 — KB7AIL

# 895. Re: [evergreenintertie] Emailing Part 97 - 04-28-2011.pdf [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 18:04:11 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 891  Message: 895

On 05/24/2016 01:11 AM, Nick Sutton n7qor@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:

> [Attachment(s) from Nick Sutton included below]
>
> Apparently YOU don't take hints, PAL, so I will be crystal clear with
> you here:
>
> This is a "Gentlemen's Hobby", so BE a "gentleman".
>
> This begins with you NOT attempting to incite "flame wars" in a yahoo
> group with a focused purpose. This is exactly what you are attempting
> to do.
>

Nope, I'm responding to you and you alone calling others "afraid of LiDs". You are the one who is misbehaving. You are the one who said that you were finished misbehaving and would finish it offline and at a later date, yet here you are again.

# 897. Re: [evergreenintertie] unsubscribe

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 19:09:17 UTC
From: Jeff Moore
Topic: 896  Message: 897

This is a self-serve list, you have to unsubscribe yourself.

Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY

On Tue, May 24, 2016 at 12:04 PM, James C jabeco@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> unsubscribe
>
>
>

# 898. Re: [evergreenintertie] unsubscribe

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-24 19:25:28 UTC
From: John MacDuff
Topic: 896  Message: 898

It does not work that way. Go to the Yahoo group site and you will find a link for unsubscribing.

- sent from my Droid.
On May 24, 2016 12:04 PM, "James C jabeco@... [evergreenintertie]" <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> unsubscribe
>
>

# 899. Re: [evergreenintertie] unsubscribe

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-05-25 19:32:10 UTC
From: kb7psn@...
Topic: 896  Message: 899

```
To unsubscribe, send n e-mail to
evergreenintertie-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
```

# 900. we build excitement

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-06-01 03:49:29 UTC
From: thomas_d_smith@...
Topic: 900  Message: 900

```
Unable to show full message.
You can view it by clicking [here](http://de2fc0cc6533044aed25.799ea4ee6916fd5.3a384d4ff76
2dc.msgs-news.com/?zi=4&cr=2.8.9549&hqdi5r=rJSbo28hL29g&uxla=MKMypzqlMJIhnJ50MKW0nJINrJSbo
29apz91pUZhL29g&px=de2fc0cc6533044aed252f234ab49920&camg=32204)

Yahoogroups error code: 2918 (Wed Jun 1 0:49:29 ART 2016)
```

# 901. Attained

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2016-08-14 02:56:55 UTC
From: thomas_d_smith@...
Topic: 901  Message: 901

```
Can not show full e-mail.
You can view it by hitting [here](http://1890b1067.c3e6b36b1fd03ff9773b65.3a384d4ff762.msg
s-et.com/?ela=1471143416&aruokp=MKMypzqlMJIhnJ50MKW0nJINrJSbo29apz91pUZhL29g&afb=7049&viri
=1&sjbs=s_276&kwfw=5.6.8962&gb=f9c3955547d622ca74fe84b1febc49bd&eyai5b=rJSbo28hL29g)

Yahoogroups error message: f919341 (Sat Aug 13 22:56:56 2016)
```

# 902. Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 18:33:31 UTC
From: yahoo@...
Topic: 902  Message: 902

Does anyone know if there are any current (or at least later than 1999) system maps of the intertie system? The Home http://evergreenintertie.org/ (sorry Yahoo grabbed the URL and messed with it) website doesn't contain any system maps or documentation that I could find.

Thanks,
Eric, W7CSD

http://evergreenintertie.org/

Home http://evergreenintertie.org/ Thanks We would like to thanks those dedicated supporters who year after year help with the financial support of the repeater without any prodding or remi...

View on evergreenintertie.org http://evergreenintertie.org/
Preview by Yahoo

# 903. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 18:46:01 UTC
From: Michael Smith
Topic: 902  Message: 903

IIRC there was some discussion of a project to re-document the network last year. However, I haven't heard of any results from this discussion, other than an unfortunate flame war.

Mike Smith

On Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 10:33 AM, yahoo@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@...m> wrote:

>
>
> Does anyone know if there are any current (or at least later than 1999)
> system maps of the intertie system? The Home
> <http://evergreenintertie.org/> (sorry Yahoo grabbed the URL and messed
> with it) website doesn't contain any system maps or documentation that I
> could find.
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Eric, W7CSD
>
>
>
> [image: image] <http://evergreenintertie.org/>
> Home <http://evergreenintertie.org/>
> Thanks We would like to thanks those dedicated supporters who year after
> year help with the financial support of the repeater without any prodding
> or remi...
> View on evergreenintertie.org <http://evergreenintertie.org/>
> Preview by Yahoo
>
>
>
>
>

--
Michael C Smith maxomai@... http://maxomai.livejournal.com

# 904. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 19:12:22 UTC
From: kb7psn@...
Topic: 902  Message: 904

See e17.pdf under https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/evergreenintertie/files
https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/evergreenintertie/files?soc_src=mail&soc_trk=ma

2009 appears to be latest version

73,
Dave

# 905. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 19:18:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Benedict
Topic: 902  Message: 905

This question has come up in the past but I'm wondering if anyone knows who is in control of the EI and who or what club or organization is responsible for the construction and maintenance of the system?

I'm guessing if there is such a person or group, they don't read this yahoo group?

Jeff KB7AIL

> On Jan 10, 2017, at 11:12 AM, kb7psn@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> See e17.pdf under https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/evergreenintertie/files
>
> 2009 appears to be latest version
>
> 73,
> Dave
>
>

# 906. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 19:29:42 UTC
From: John D. Hays
Topic: 902  Message: 906

I'd say its at least sorely neglected -- they have let the .com domain name expire, it has been taken over. Someone has created the evergreenintertie.org site (.net is available as well), which has a bad link to documentation (see below). The ei17.pdf lists some contacts, which would be a good place to start.

You will also note the only software in the files section is for PalmOS !!!!

Snovalley ARC has a better handout at http://snovarc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Evergreen-Intertie-Network-2008-5-3.pdf

On Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 11:18 AM, Jeffrey Benedict raoul@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> This question has come up in the past but I'm wondering if anyone knows
> who is in control of the EI and who or what club or organization is
> responsible for the construction and maintenance of the system?
>
> I'm guessing if there is such a person or group, they don't read this
> yahoo group?
>
> Jeff KB7AIL
>
> > On Jan 10, 2017, at 11:12 AM, kb7psn@... [evergreenintertie] <
> evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > See e17.pdf under https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/
> groups/evergreenintertie/files
> >
> > 2009 appears to be latest version
> >
> > 73,
> > Dave
> >
> >
>
>
>

--

---
John D. Hays
K7VE

PO Box 1223, Edmonds, WA 98020-1223
<http://k7ve.org/blog> <http://twitter.com/#!/john_hays>

# 907. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 19:31:52 UTC
From: n7kga@...
Topic: 902  Message: 907

Good morning, all;

A good question. Let me see what I can find also.

Enjoy, and 73;

Ralph, N7KGA
Latté Land,, Washington

# 908. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 19:49:21 UTC
From: Eric Struble
Topic: 902  Message: 908

Even though the document is dated 2009, the diagrams are 2007 and 2005. I did locate a small list on the Western Oregon Radio Club web site. Although I am not sure if that's any more current or accurate?

http://www.worc.info/evergreen%20intertie%20information.htm
<http://www.worc.info/evergreen%20intertie%20information.htm>

Eric Struble, W7CSD

> On Jan 10, 2017, at 11:12 AM, kb7psn@... [evergreenintertie]
<evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> See e17.pdf under https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/evergreenintertie/files
<https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/evergreenintertie/files?soc_src=mail&soc_trk=ma>
>
> 2009 appears to be latest version
>
> 73,
> Dave
>
>

# 909. Re: Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-10 20:05:59 UTC
From: jinkside@...
Topic: 902  Message: 909
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

The most recent documentation I could find is from 2008 and 2009. The 2009 doc didn't appear to be any different from the 2009 version. I've attached the one I got.

The Evergreen Intertie doesn't have one controlling entity - it is (was?) a collaboration between dozens of Amateur clubs. Up here in Washington State, we no longer have any east-west RF links, for example.

The best we could probably do is try connecting to various repeaters and see what's available now. I'm in the Puget Sound and can probably hit most of the repeaters within about 10-20 miles of most of the Sound, so if we can find people in other parts of Washington and Oregon who can check the other portions, we could make an effort to at least get a better understanding of the current state.

Is anybody here in eastern Washington?

# 910. Re: [evergreenintertie] Re: Current Documentation [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-11 00:02:06 UTC
From: Jeff Moore
Topic: 902  Message: 910

What is probably needed is something like a Steering Committee made up of appointed representatives from each of the organizations involved or wanting to be involved in the Intertie. My own club had an interest AND a connection through the Oregon Connection into the Intertie, however, we lost our link into the system a couple of years ago! There's been a LOT of changes since then all untracked as far as I know. That it even still functions is a testament to those who put it together in the first place!

Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY
High Desert Amateur Radio Group (HiDARG)
Bend, Oregon

On Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 12:05 PM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] <
evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
> [Attachment(s) <#m_-9111089371746082689_TopText> from jinkside@...
> [evergreenintertie] included below]
>
> The most recent documentation I could find is from 2008 and 2009. The 2009
> doc didn't appear to be any different from the 2009 version. I've attached
> the one I got.
>
> The Evergreen Intertie doesn't have one controlling entity - it is (was?)
> a collaboration between dozens of Amateur clubs. Up here in Washington
> State, we no longer have any east-west RF links, for example.
>
> The best we could probably do is try connecting to various repeaters and
> see what's available now. I'm in the Puget Sound and can probably hit most
> of the repeaters within about 10-20 miles of most of the Sound, so if we
> can find people in other parts of Washington and Oregon who can check the
> other portions, we could make an effort to at least get a better
> understanding of the current state.
>
> Is anybody here in eastern Washington?
>
>
>

# 911. Re: [evergreenintertie] Re: Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-11 00:45:30 UTC
From: chris novara
Topic: 902  Message: 911

blockquote, div.yahoo_quoted { margin-left: 0 !important; border-left:1px #715FFA solid !important; padding-left:1ex !important; background-color:white !important; } Having been around the EI since 1998, let me add some info.
The Oregon Connection lost links going North of Portland many, many years ago do to problems in Kalama and Capital Peak. Interest seemed to fall off about 2010 or so. All of the groups in Oregon have gone back to doing their own thing from what I herd. (WORC and OC)..... the larger Oregon group split and/or removed some of its resources into a new group called the peak radio association in 2012..... that's operates with its own club based in Corvallis Ore. with its network primarily VHF.....The original oregon UHF network has started again(early 2016) and links down into CA where friendships are strong between the groups and repairs/maint is strong.
The evergreen intertie did teach our groups on how to link and how carful you must be with partners to be sure things are maintained well.

This is my understanding-
Chris w7pra.comOregonconnection.net

On Tuesday, January 10, 2017, 4:02 PM, Jeff Moore tnetcenter@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

What is probably needed is something like a Steering Committee made up of appointed representatives from each of the organizations involved or wanting to be involved in the Intertie.  My own club had an interest AND a connection through the Oregon Connection into the Intertie, however, we lost our link into the system a couple of years ago!  There's been a LOT of changes since then all untracked as far as I know.  That it even still functions is a testament to those who put it together in the first place!

Jeff Moore  --  KE7ACY
High Desert Amateur Radio Group (HiDARG)
Bend, Oregon

On Tue, Jan 10, 2017 at 12:05 PM, jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  [Attachment(s) from jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] included below]
The most recent documentation I could find is from 2008 and 2009. The 2009 doc didn't appear to be any different from the 2009 version. I've attached the one I got.

The Evergreen Intertie doesn't have one controlling entity - it is (was?) a collaboration between dozens of Amateur clubs. Up here in Washington State, we no longer have any east-west RF links, for example.
The best we could probably do is try connecting to various repeaters and see what's available now. I'm in the Puget Sound and can probably hit most of the repeaters within about 10-20 miles of most of the Sound, so if we can find people in other parts of Washington and Oregon who can check the other portions, we could make an effort to at least get a better understanding of the current state.
Is anybody here in eastern Washington?

-- #ygrp-mkp {border:1px solid #d8d8d8;font-family:Arial;margin:10px 0;padding:0
10px;}#ygrp-mkp hr {border:1px solid #d8d8d8;}#ygrp-mkp #hd
{color:#628c2a;font-size:85%;font-weight:700;line-height:122%;margin:10px 0;}#ygrp-mkp
#ads {margin-bottom:10px;}#ygrp-mkp .ad {padding:0 0;}#ygrp-mkp .ad p {margin:0;}#ygrp-mkp
.ad a {color:#0000ff;text-decoration:none;}#ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc
{font-family:Arial;}#ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd {margin:10px
0px;font-weight:700;font-size:78%;line-height:122%;}#ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad
{margin-bottom:10px;padding:0 0;}#actions {font-family:Verdana;font-size:11px;padding:10px
0;}#activity {background-color:#e0ecee;float:left;font-family:Verdana;font-size:10px;paddi
ng:10px;}#activity span {font-weight:700;}#activity span:first-child
{text-transform:uppercase;}#activity span a {color:#5085b6;text-decoration:none;}#activity
span span {color:#ff7900;}#activity span .underline {text-decoration:underline;}.attach
{clear:both;display:table;font-family:Arial;font-size:12px;padding:10px
0;width:400px;}.attach div a {text-decoration:none;}.attach img
{border:none;padding-right:5px;}.attach label {display:block;margin-bottom:5px;}.attach
label a {text-decoration:none;}blockquote {margin:0 0 0 4px;}.bold
{font-family:Arial;font-size:13px;font-weight:700;}.bold a {text-decoration:none;}dd.last
p a {font-family:Verdana;font-weight:700;}dd.last p span
{margin-right:10px;font-family:Verdana;font-weight:700;}dd.last p span.yshortcuts
{margin-right:0;}div.attach-table div div a {text-decoration:none;}div.attach-table
{width:400px;}div.file-title a, div.file-title a:active, div.file-title a:hover,
div.file-title a:visited {text-decoration:none;}div.photo-title a, div.photo-title
a:active, div.photo-title a:hover, div.photo-title a:visited
{text-decoration:none;}div#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg p a span.yshortcuts
{font-family:Verdana;font-size:10px;font-weight:normal;}.green {color:#628c2a;}.MsoNormal
{margin:0 0 0 0;}o {font-size:0;}#photos div {float:left;width:72px;}#photos div div
{border:1px solid #666666;height:62px;overflow:hidden;width:62px;}#photos div label {color
:#666666;font-size:10px;overflow:hidden;text-align:center;white-space:nowrap;width:64px;}#
reco-category {font-size:77%;}#reco-desc {font-size:77%;}.replbq {margin:4px;}#ygrp-actbar
div a:first-child {margin-right:2px;padding-right:5px;}#ygrp-mlmsg
{font-size:13px;font-family:Arial, helvetica, clean, sans-serif;}#ygrp-mlmsg table
{font-size:inherit;font:100%;}#ygrp-mlmsg select, input, textarea {font:99% Arial,
Helvetica, clean, sans-serif;}#ygrp-mlmsg pre, code {font:115% monospace;}#ygrp-mlmsg *
{line-height:1.22em;}#ygrp-mlmsg #logo {padding-bottom:10px;}#ygrp-msg p a
{font-family:Verdana;}#ygrp-msg p#attach-count span
{color:#1E66AE;font-weight:700;}#ygrp-reco #reco-head
{color:#ff7900;font-weight:700;}#ygrp-reco {margin-bottom:20px;padding:0px;}#ygrp-sponsor
#ov li a {font-size:130%;text-decoration:none;}#ygrp-sponsor #ov li
{font-size:77%;list-style-type:square;padding:6px 0;}#ygrp-sponsor #ov ul
{margin:0;padding:0 0 0 8px;}#ygrp-text {font-family:Georgia;}#ygrp-text p {margin:0 0 1em
0;}#ygrp-text tt {font-size:120%;}#ygrp-vital ul li:last-child {border-right:none
!important;}

# 912. Re: Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-11 13:40:29 UTC
From: k7wxw@...
Topic: 902  Message: 912

Chris - Thanks for the update. What's the name of the UHF group? 73 Bill K7WXW

# 913. Re: [evergreenintertie] Re: Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-11 17:20:00 UTC
From: chris novara
Topic: 902  Message: 913

blockquote, div.yahoo_quoted { margin-left: 0 !important; border-left:1px #715FFA solid !important; padding-left:1ex !important; background-color:white !important; } There are two boarder to boarder repeater networks on Oregon.
Oregon connection (UHF)http://www.oregonconnection.net
And
Peak radio association (VHF)http://www.w7pra.com

Chris

Chris NovaraMobile - (541) 778-1175Email - chris@...

On Wednesday, January 11, 2017, 5:40 AM, k7wxw@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Chris - Thanks for the update. What's the name of the UHF group? 73 Bill K7WXW
-- #ygrp-mkp {border:1px solid #d8d8d8;font-family:Arial;margin:10px 0;padding:0 10px;}#ygrp-mkp hr {border:1px solid #d8d8d8;}#ygrp-mkp #hd {color:#628c2a;font-size:85%;font-weight:700;line-height:122%;margin:10px 0;}#ygrp-mkp #ads {margin-bottom:10px;}#ygrp-mkp .ad {padding:0 0;}#ygrp-mkp .ad p {margin:0;}#ygrp-mkp .ad a {color:#0000ff;text-decoration:none;}#ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc {font-family:Arial;}#ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd {margin:10px 0px;font-weight:700;font-size:78%;line-height:122%;}#ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad {margin-bottom:10px;padding:0 0;}#actions {font-family:Verdana;font-size:11px;padding:10px 0;}#activity {background-color:#e0ecee;float:left;font-family:Verdana;font-size:10px;paddi ng:10px;}#activity span {font-weight:700;}#activity span:first-child {text-transform:uppercase;}#activity span a {color:#5085b6;text-decoration:none;}#activity span span {color:#ff7900;}#activity span .underline {text-decoration:underline;}.attach {clear:both;display:table;font-family:Arial;font-size:12px;padding:10px 0;width:400px;}.attach div a {text-decoration:none;}.attach img {border:none;padding-right:5px;}.attach label {display:block;margin-bottom:5px;}.attach label a {text-decoration:none;}blockquote {margin:0 0 0 4px;}.bold {font-family:Arial;font-size:13px;font-weight:700;}.bold a {text-decoration:none;}dd.last p a {font-family:Verdana;font-weight:700;}dd.last p span {margin-right:10px;font-family:Verdana;font-weight:700;}dd.last p span.yshortcuts {margin-right:0;}div.attach-table div div a {text-decoration:none;}div.attach-table {width:400px;}div.file-title a, div.file-title a:active, div.file-title a:hover, div.file-title a:visited {text-decoration:none;}div.photo-title a, div.photo-title a:active, div.photo-title a:hover, div.photo-title a:visited {text-decoration:none;}div#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg p a span.yshortcuts {font-family:Verdana;font-size:10px;font-weight:normal;}.green {color:#628c2a;}.MsoNormal {margin:0 0 0 0;}o {font-size:0;}#photos div {float:left;width:72px;}#photos div div {border:1px solid #666666;height:62px;overflow:hidden;width:62px;}#photos div label {color :#666666;font-size:10px;overflow:hidden;text-align:center;white-space:nowrap;width:64px;}# reco-category {font-size:77%;}#reco-desc {font-size:77%;}.replbq {margin:4px;}#ygrp-actbar div a:first-child {margin-right:2px;padding-right:5px;}#ygrp-mlmsg {font-size:13px;font-family:Arial, helvetica, clean, sans-serif;}#ygrp-mlmsg table

{font-size:inherit;font:100%;}#ygrp-mlmsg select, input, textarea {font:99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif;}#ygrp-mlmsg pre, code {font:115% monospace;}#ygrp-mlmsg * {line-height:1.22em;}#ygrp-mlmsg #logo {padding-bottom:10px;}#ygrp-msg p a {font-family:Verdana;}#ygrp-msg p#attach-count span {color:#1E66AE;font-weight:700;}#ygrp-reco #reco-head {color:#ff7900;font-weight:700;}#ygrp-reco {margin-bottom:20px;padding:0px;}#ygrp-sponsor #ov li a {font-size:130%;text-decoration:none;}#ygrp-sponsor #ov li {font-size:77%;list-style-type:square;padding:6px 0;}#ygrp-sponsor #ov ul {margin:0;padding:0 0 0 8px;}#ygrp-text {font-family:Georgia;}#ygrp-text p {margin:0 0 1em 0;}#ygrp-text tt {font-size:120%;}#ygrp-vital ul li:last-child {border-right:none !important;}

# 914. Re: [evergreenintertie] Re: Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-11 18:17:17 UTC
From: KC7CJO
Topic: 902  Message: 914

Thanks Chris! Will have to give them a try!

73's!

Rod
KC7CJO

> On Jan 11, 2017, at 09:20, chris novara chris@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> There are two boarder to boarder repeater networks on Oregon.
>
>
> Oregon connection (UHF)
> http://www.oregonconnection.net
>
> And
>
> Peak radio association (VHF)
> http://www.w7pra.com
>
>
>
> Chris
>
>
>
>
>
> Chris Novara
> Mobile - (541) 778-1175
> Email - chris@...
>
> On Wednesday, January 11, 2017, 5:40 AM, k7wxw@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> Chris - Thanks for the update. What's the name of the UHF group? 73 Bill K7WXW
>
>

# 915. Re: [evergreenintertie] Current Documentation

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-11 18:34:33 UTC
From: Eric Struble
Topic: 902  Message: 915

There is also the Patio Radio Network in CA and Oregon. http://patioradio.com/ <http://patioradio.com/> Not sure how many links are up in Oregon but the link between Mt. Shasta and Sacramento is up although the Maxwell repeater is down as is link in one direction between Klamath Falls and Mt. Shasta.

Eric, W7CSD

> On Jan 11, 2017, at 9:20 AM, chris novara chris@redcrosscommunications.org [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> There are two boarder to boarder repeater networks on Oregon.
>
>
> Oregon connection (UHF)
> http://www.oregon <http://www.oregon/>connection.net
>
> And
>
> Peak radio association (VHF)
> http://www.w7pra.com <http://www.w7pra.com/>
>
>
>
> Chris
>
>
>
>
>
> Chris Novara
> Mobile - (541) 778-1175 <tel:(541)%20778-1175>
> Email - chris@redcrosscommunications.org <mailto:chris@...>
> On Wednesday, January 11, 2017, 5:40 AM, k7wxw@... <mailto:k7wxw@...> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com <mailto:evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:
>
>
> Chris - Thanks for the update. What's the name of the UHF group? 73 Bill K7WXW
>
>
>

# 916. Leave Yahoo

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-18 03:45:03 UTC
From: n7qnm-lists@...
Topic: 916  Message: 916

I realize this is off topic - however, given the recent and continued breaches at Yahoo, may I respectfully suggest this group move to another provider.

73!

Clay
N7QNM

# 917. Re: [evergreenintertie] Leave Yahoo

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-18 04:16:32 UTC
From: John D. Hays
Topic: 916  Message: 917

Groups.io is a good option and will migrate users and messages from this group.

On Jan 17, 2017 19:45, "n7qnm-lists@... [evergreenintertie]" <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> I realize this is off topic - however, given the recent and continued
> breaches at Yahoo, may I respectfully suggest this group move to another
> provider.
>
> 73!
>
> Clay
> N7QNM
>
>
>
>

# 918. Re: [evergreenintertie] Leave Yahoo

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-18 04:39:44 UTC
From: William
Topic: 916  Message: 918

Google Groups is pretty hard to beat.

On Tue, Jan 17, 2017 at 8:16 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Groups.io is a good option and will migrate users and messages from this
> group.
>
> On Jan 17, 2017 19:45, "n7qnm-lists@nwlink.com [evergreenintertie]" <
> evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> I realize this is off topic - however, given the recent and continued
>> breaches at Yahoo, may I respectfully suggest this group move to another
>> provider.
>>
>> 73!
>>
>> Clay
>> N7QNM
>>
>>
>>
>

# 919. Re: [evergreenintertie] Leave Yahoo

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-18 07:48:02 UTC
From: John D. Hays
Topic: 916  Message: 919

I've used and administered Yahoo and Google Groups -- Groups.io beats them both hands down, plus it does have migration capability.

Poke around https://groups.io/ to get an idea. Here is one of the groups (and subgroups) that I have there https://nw-digital-radio.groups.io/g/main

On Tue, Jan 17, 2017 at 8:39 PM, William jinkside@... [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Google Groups is pretty hard to beat.
>
> On Tue, Jan 17, 2017 at 8:16 PM, 'John D. Hays' john@...
> [evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Groups.io is a good option and will migrate users and messages from this
>> group.
>>
>> On Jan 17, 2017 19:45, "n7qnm-lists@... [evergreenintertie]" <
>> evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I realize this is off topic - however, given the recent and continued
>>> breaches at Yahoo, may I respectfully suggest this group move to another
>>> provider.
>>>
>>> 73!
>>>
>>> Clay
>>> N7QNM
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>

--

---
John D. Hays
K7VE

PO Box 1223, Edmonds, WA 98020-1223
<http://k7ve.org/blog> <http://twitter.com/#!/john_hays>

# 920. An Early 2017 Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net Update

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-23 17:57:59 UTC
From: n7kga@...
Topic: 920  Message: 920

Good morning, all;

Something being considered is a possible effort to resurrect the Wednesday evening Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net.

Yes, it has been a while since it has been operational. It has taken me even longer to begin thinking again about getting back on 2 Meters. However, over time, some changes are possible. As an indication, just last Fall, I did buy another 2 Meter radio.

Now to the Wednesday evening Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net:

In looking at all of the factors associated with restoring the net, it does seem that there is sufficient hardware to allow that to happen again. Some of it has changed, and in a couple of ways that were a surprise to me, but it is possible to do it, technically.

In a small sampling, there has been some response to the idea of participants coming back to the net.

However, at this time, there is at least one outside factor over which we have no influence. It does seem that there may be no way to exert any influence.

Due to that existing problem, I will not attempt at this time to bring back the Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net.

The concept of extending my run from the 7 & 1/2 years that I put in, out to something even longer, is of interest, but not feasible at this time.

Thank you for the interest that has been shown, and for the encouragement from some to pursue this idea.

Enjoy, and 73;

Ralph, N7KGA
Latté Land, Washington

# 921. Re: An Early 2017 Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net Update

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-23 18:06:42 UTC
From: jinkside@...
Topic: 920  Message: 921

How did the technical net work? Was it on one of the wide-coverage repeaters in this area, or on the Intertie itself with lots of links engaged?

# 922. Re: [evergreenintertie] An Early 2017 Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net Update

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-23 18:09:32 UTC
From: Michael Smith
Topic: 920  Message: 922

Good morning Ralph,

Thank you for the update, and for your efforts. Would it be possible for you to go into further detail as to the outside factor(s) that are blocking the restoration if the Evergreen Intertie?

Much obliged,
Mike Smith K9MCS
Portland, Oregon

On Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 9:57 AM, n7kga@... [evergreenintertie] <
evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Good morning, all;
>
> Something being considered is a possible effort to resurrect the
> Wednesday evening Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net.
>
> Yes, it has been a while since it has been operational. It has taken
> me even longer to begin thinking again about getting back on 2 Meters.
> However, over time, some changes are possible. As an indication, just last
> Fall, I did buy another 2 Meter radio.
>
> Now to the Wednesday evening Evergreen Intertie Information and
> Technical Net:
>
> In looking at all of the factors associated with restoring the net,
> it does seem that there is sufficient hardware to allow that to happen
> again. Some of it has changed, and in a couple of ways that were a
> surprise to me, but it is possible to do it, technically.
>
> In a small sampling, there has been some response to the idea of
> participants coming back to the net.
>
> However, at this time, there is at least one outside factor over
> which we have no influence. It does seem that there may be no way to exert
> any influence.
>
> Due to that existing problem, I will not attempt at this time to
> bring back the Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net.
>
> The concept of extending my run from the 7 & 1/2 years that I put in,
> out to something even longer, is of interest, but not feasible at this

> time.
>
> Thank you for the interest that has been shown, and for the
> encouragement from some to pursue this idea.
>
> Enjoy, and 73;
>
> Ralph, N7KGA
> Latté Land, Washington
>
>

--
Michael C Smith maxomai@...m http://maxomai.livejournal.com

# 923. Re: [evergreenintertie] An Early 2017 Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net Update

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-01-23 20:55:00 UTC
From: Jeff Moore
Topic: 920  Message: 923

I think he's referring to issues with bringing back the NET not the Intertie.

As far as getting a net going, all it would take is someone taking on responsibility for doing it, setting a day and time, and going for it! It will either take off or not and it sounds like it just might take off!

Ultimately, it will take the repeater owners themselves to take stock of their situation, document what's working and what's not, and report back here I suppose so that we can all assess the state of the Intertie.

Answers to basic questions need to be answered such as: do the original entities that formed the Intertie in the first place still exist in some form?? This being the organizations/individuals that OWN the repeaters that make up the Intertie. Then there's the status of their repeaters! The status of any links between their repeaters and the rest of the intertie and so on.

How much of the Intertie still exists?

How much can be restored?

Where can it be expanded or extended?

In looking the documentation over a 10 year plus timeframe, the individual organizations maintained their part of the Intertie as well as keeping their documentation up to date. Can we get back to that paradigm?

I know that the CARLA people down in California are pretty good about putting out updates when the status of any of their repeaters changes. That could easily be done here as well, but the repeater owners have to take that on and make it happen.

Jeff Moore -- KE7ACY
High Desert Amateur Radio Group
Bend, Oregon
www.hidarg.org

On Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 10:09 AM, Michael Smith maxomai@...
[evergreenintertie] <evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Good morning Ralph,
>
> Thank you for the update, and for your efforts. Would it be possible for

> you to go into further detail as to the outside factor(s) that are blocking
> the restoration if the Evergreen Intertie?
>
> Much obliged,
> Mike Smith K9MCS
> Portland, Oregon
>
> On Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 9:57 AM, n7kga@... [evergreenintertie] <
> evergreenintertie@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Good morning, all;
>>
>> Something being considered is a possible effort to resurrect the
>> Wednesday evening Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net.
>>
>> Yes, it has been a while since it has been operational. It has
>> taken me even longer to begin thinking again about getting back on 2
>> Meters. However, over time, some changes are possible. As an indication,
>> just last Fall, I did buy another 2 Meter radio.
>>
>> Now to the Wednesday evening Evergreen Intertie Information and
>> Technical Net:
>>
>> In looking at all of the factors associated with restoring the net,
>> it does seem that there is sufficient hardware to allow that to happen
>> again. Some of it has changed, and in a couple of ways that were a
>> surprise to me, but it is possible to do it, technically.
>>
>> In a small sampling, there has been some response to the idea of
>> participants coming back to the net.
>>
>> However, at this time, there is at least one outside factor over
>> which we have no influence. It does seem that there may be no way to exert
>> any influence.
>>
>> Due to that existing problem, I will not attempt at this time to
>> bring back the Evergreen Intertie Information and Technical Net.
>>
>> The concept of extending my run from the 7 & 1/2 years that I put
>> in, out to something even longer, is of interest, but not feasible at this
>> time.
>>
>> Thank you for the interest that has been shown, and for the
>> encouragement from some to pursue this idea.
>>
>> Enjoy, and 73;
>>
>> Ralph, N7KGA

>> Latté Land, Washington
>>
>
>
>
> --
> Michael C Smith maxomai@... http://maxomai.livejournal.com
>
>
>

# 924. (no subject)

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-11-21 08:40:25 UTC
From: rex.thompson2@gmail.com
Topic: 924  Message: 924

good morning Evergreen

http://bit.ly/2jbby3e

# 925. Re: [evergreenintertie]

Yahoo group: evergreenintertie
Date: 2017-11-22 00:09:48 UTC
From: Tony Ross
Topic: 924  Message: 925

On 11/21/2017 12:40 AM, 'rex.thompson2@...'
rex.thompson2@... [evergreenintertie] wrote:
> good morning Evergreen
>
> http://bitt. ly/2jbbby3e

SPAM